arXiv:1410.5547v2 [math.DG] 3 Nov 2014

RADIALLY SYMMETRIC SOLUTIONS TO THE GRAPHIC WILLMORE SURFACE EQUATION

JINGYI CHEN AND YUXIANG LI

Abstract. We show that a smooth radially symmetric solution u to the graphic Willmore surface equation is either a constant or the defining function of a half sphere in $\mathbb{R}^3$. In particular, radially symmetric entire Willmore graphs in $\mathbb{R}^3$ must be flat. When u is a smooth radial solution over a punctured disk $D(\rho)\backslash\{0\}$ and is in $C^1(D(\rho))$, we show that there exist a constant λ and a function β in $C^0(D(\rho))$ such that $u''(r) = \frac{\lambda}{2}\log r + \beta(r)$; moreover, the graph of u is contained in a graphical region of an inverted catenoid which is uniquely determined by λ and $\beta(0)$. It is also shown that a radial solution on the punctured disk extends to a C^1 function on the disk when the mean curvature is square integrable.

1. Introduction

A Willmore surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is a smoothly immersed surface that satisfies the equation

$$\Delta_g H + \frac{1}{2}H^3 - 2H^2K = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

where $H = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$ and $K = \kappa_1\kappa_2$ are the mean curvature and the Gauss curvature of the surface respectively and Δ_g is the Laplace-Beltrami operator in the metric g on the surface, which is induced from the Euclidean metric in $\mathbb{R}^3$ via the immersion.

For a smooth graph $(x, y, u(x, y))$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$, the area element is $v = \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}$ and $H = \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Du}{v}\right)$, where D is the Euclidean gradient operator on functions in the xy-plane. Fro graphs, as shown in [3], the Willmore surface equation (1.1) can be written in an elegant form:

$$\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{1}{v}\left(\left(I - \frac{Du \otimes Du}{v^2}\right)D(vH) - \frac{1}{2}H^2 Du\right)\right) = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Inspired by the classical theorem of Bernstein for the minimal surface equation, one asks when an entire Willmore graph is a plane. An entire smooth solution to (1.2) is shown to be affine if H is square integrable [2], [5]; the approach taken in [2] is geometric in nature, rather than dealing with the fourth order partial differential equation directly. It has remained an interesting open question on the radially symmetric case: is an entire radial solution to (1.2) constant? In this paper, we answer this question affirmatively.

Date: October 31, 2014.

The first author acknowledges the partial support from NSERC. The second author is partially supported by NSFC. This work was done when the second author visits UBC in the fall of 2014; he is grateful to the Department of Mathematics at UBC and PIMS for providing him a nice research environment.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $u(r)$ be a smooth solution to (1.2) defined on a disk $D(\rho)$ in the xy-plane centered at the origin with radius ρ, where $r = \sqrt{x^2+y^2}$. Suppose that $u(0) = c$ and the mean curvature of of the graph $(x, y, u(r))$ at $(0, 0, c)$ is $2a$. Then*

(1) *if $a = 0$, then $u \equiv c$;*
(2) *if $a \neq 0$, the graph is contained in a half sphere centered at $(0, 0, c)$ of radius $\frac{1}{|a|}$.*

Consequently, a global radially symmetric solution to (1.2) must be constant.

The main observation in this paper can be summarized as follows. The fourth order equation (1.2) reduces to a third order equation due to its divergence free structure; the latter further reduces, in the radially symmetric case, to an ordinary differential equation of second order for u' since the zeroth order term u itself is absent from the equation (1.2). The singularity at $r = 0$ in the second order equation is surprisingly mild. Even more, the difference of any two solutions to the second order equation satisfies an equation which admits a contraction property that leads to uniqueness of solutions to an initial value problem. The desired rigidity then follows. In section 2 we will prove Theorem 1.1.

In section 3, we will extend the techniques developed in section 2 to study radially symmetric solutions with a point singularity at the origin. Our main focus is to classify the radially symmetric solutions to the Willmore surface equation on a punctured disk $D(\rho)\backslash\{0\}$, which is in $C^1(D(\rho))$. Such a solution u will be shown to have the following decomposition property:

$$u''(r) = \frac{\lambda}{2}\log r + \beta(r), \quad \text{where } \beta \in C^0[0, \rho);$$

which is equivalent to the mean curvature decomposes as:

$$H(r) = \frac{\lambda}{2}\log r + \gamma(r), \quad \text{where } \gamma \in C^0[0, \rho).$$

Further, we will prove that such a surface is contained in the image of an inversion in $\mathbb{R}^3$ of a catenoid, where the inversion and the catenoid are uniquely determined by the information at the origin: λ and $\beta(0)$ (or equivalently $\gamma(0)$). We now describe the corresponding catenoid and inversion.

A catenoid $\mathcal{C}_c$ may be defined by the parametrization

$$\mathcal{F}_c(t, \theta) = (|c|\cosh t\cos\theta, |c|\cosh t\sin\theta, ct),$$

where $c \neq 0$ (here we allow $c < 0$). The inversion of this catenoid under the mapping

$$I_a(x, y, z) = \frac{(x, y, z-a)}{|(x, y, z-a)|^2}$$

is a closed surface with $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ as its only nonsmooth point, in particular, away from finitely many circles that are perpendicular to the z-axis, the inverted catenoid can be written as several graphs over the xy-plane, among them, we will identify which one contains the graph of u (translated by the constant vector $(0, 0, u(0))$). Let

$$h(t) = \frac{|c|\cosh t}{c^2\cosh^2 t + (ct-a)^2}$$

be the distance of $I_a(\mathcal{F}_c(t,\theta))$ to the z-axis. Direct computations shows that h has only finitely many critical points and denote them by $t_1 < \cdots < t_m$. Then the inversion of $\mathcal{C}_c \backslash (\bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_c(\{t_i\} \times S^1))$ consists of the following $m+1$ graphs

$$I_a\left(\mathcal{F}_c((-\infty, t_1) \times S^1)\right), \quad I_a\left(\mathcal{F}_c((t_1, t_2) \times S^1\right), \cdots, I_a\left(\mathcal{F}_c((t_m, +\infty) \times S^1\right)$$

where the first one and the last one are graphs over a punctured disk and the rest are graphs over an annulus. Let

$$t_{c,a} = t_m, \quad R_{c,a} = h(t_{c,a}), \quad \Sigma_{c,a} = I_a\left(\mathcal{F}_c((t_m, +\infty) \times S^1)\right).$$

The disk $D(R_{c,a})$ in the xy-plane is the largest domain over which $\Sigma_{c,a}$ can be defined (see Section 3.2 for more details).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $u(r)$ be a smooth solution to (1.2) defined on a disk $D(\rho)\backslash\{0\}$ in the xy-plane centered at the origin with radius ρ. Suppose $u \in C^1(D(\rho))$. Then*

(1) *there exist a constant λ and a function $\beta \in C^0([0,\rho))$ such that*

$$u''(r) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \log r + \beta(r), \quad r > 0;$$

(2) *the graph of u is contained in $(0,0,u(0)) + \Sigma_{c,a}$ where*

$$c = -\frac{\lambda}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad a = \frac{\lambda}{4} \log \frac{|\lambda|}{8} + \frac{3\lambda}{8} - \frac{\beta(0)}{2}$$

and ρ is no larger than $R_{c,a}$ and $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(D(\rho))$ for any $0 < \alpha < 1$.

The regularity assumption $u \in C^1(D(\rho))$ in Theorem 1.2 will be shown to hold when the mean curvature H is square integrable over $D(\rho)$.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $u(r)$ be a smooth solution to (1.2) defined on a punctured disk $D(\rho)\backslash\{0\}$ in the xy-plane. Suppose that the Willmore energy $\int_{D(\rho)} |H|^2 d\mu$ is finite. Then u can be extended at 0 as a $C^{1,\alpha}$ function on $D(\rho)$ and (1) and (2) in Theorem 1.2 hold.*

For rigidity of Willmore surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ that are not necessarily graphs, Bryant showed [1], among other things, that an embedded closed Willmore surface of genus zero must be a round sphere; Rigoli [6] showed that for a complete Willmore immersion $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^3$, if there exists a vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\langle n + Hf, a\rangle \equiv 0$, then $f(\Sigma)$ must be a plane.

2. Smooth Radially symmetric solutions

Let f be a smooth radially symmetric function defined on the disk $D(\rho)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ centered at the origin with radius $\rho \in (0,\infty]$. The divergence of the smooth radial vector field $X = f(r)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$, where $r = \sqrt{x^2+y^2}$ for $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, is given by

$$\mathrm{div} X = \frac{(rf)'}{r} = f' + \frac{f}{r}. \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover, $f'(0)$ must be 0. In fact, if we set $\tilde{f}(x,y) = f(r)$, then $\tilde{f}(x,y) = \tilde{f}(-x,-y)$. It follows that both $\tilde{f}_x$ and $\tilde{f}_y$ vanish at the origin; hence

$$f'(0) = \tilde{f}_x(0,0)\cos\theta + \tilde{f}_y(0,0)\sin\theta = 0.$$

Now consider the graph defined by a smooth radially symmetric function $u(r)$ on $D(\rho)$. Letting $w = u'$, we have

$$v = \sqrt{1+w^2} \quad \text{and} \quad v' = \frac{ww'}{v}.$$

Note that

$$w(0) = u'(0) = 0.$$

We calculate the mean curvature of the graph as follows

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{w}{v}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{w}{v}\right)' + \frac{w}{rv} \\ &= \frac{w'}{v} - \frac{w^2 w'}{v^3} + \frac{w}{rv} \\ &= \frac{w'}{v^3} + \frac{w}{rv}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Two consequences are immediate. First, at the origin, we have

$$H(0) = w'(0) + \lim_{r\to 0^+} \frac{w}{rv} = 2w'(0). \tag{2.3}$$

Second, we have

$$(vH)' = \frac{w''}{v^2} - \frac{2w(w')^2}{v^4} + \frac{w'}{r} - \frac{w}{r^2}. \tag{2.4}$$

To simplify the divergence free radial vector field in the Willmore surface equation (1.2), we first compute

$$\begin{aligned} \left(I - \frac{Du\otimes Du}{v^2}\right) D(vH) &= \left(\left(1-\frac{u_x^2}{v^2}\right)(vH)_x - \frac{u_x u_y}{v^2}(vH)_y\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{u_x u_y}{v^2}(vH)_x + \left(1-\frac{u_y^2}{v^2}\right)(vH)_y\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ &= \left(\frac{1+u_y^2}{v^2}(vH)_x - \frac{u_x u_y}{v^2}(vH)_y\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{u_x u_y}{v^2}(vH)_x + \frac{1+u_x^2}{v^2}(vH)_y\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Next, we convert the partial derivatives in x, y of the radially symmetric functions u, vH into the derivatives in r:

$$u_x = u_r\frac{x}{r}, \quad u_y = u_r\frac{y}{r}, \quad (vH)_x = (vH)_r\frac{x}{r}, \quad (vH)_y = (vH)_r\frac{y}{r}. \tag{2.6}$$

It follows by substituting (2.6) into (2.5) that

$$\left(I - \frac{Du\otimes Du}{v^2}\right) D(vH) = \frac{1}{v^2}\left(\left(1+u_r^2\frac{y^2}{r^2}\right)(vH)_r\frac{x}{r} - u_r^2(vH)_r\frac{xy^2}{r^3}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tag{2.7}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{1}{v^2}\left(-u_r^2(vH)_r\frac{x^2y}{r^3}+\left(1+u_r^2\frac{x^2}{r^2}\right)(vH)_r\frac{y}{r}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\\
&= \frac{1}{v^2}(vH)_r\left(\frac{x}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{y}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{v^2}(vH)_r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Define a radially symmetric function by

$$
f=\left\langle\frac{1}{v}\left(\left(I-\frac{Du\otimes Du}{v^2}\right)D(vH)-\frac{1}{2}H^2Du\right),\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right\rangle. \tag{2.8}
$$

Using (2.2), (2.4) and (2.7), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
f &= \frac{1}{v}\left(\frac{1}{v^2}(vH)_r-\frac{1}{2}H^2w\right) \qquad (2.9)\\
&= \frac{1}{v}\left(\frac{1}{v^2}\left(\frac{w''}{v^2}-\frac{2w(w')^2}{v^4}+\frac{w'}{r}-\frac{w}{r^2}\right)\right)-\frac{1}{2v}H^2w\\
&= \frac{w''}{v^5}-\frac{2w(w')^2}{v^7}+\frac{1}{v^3}\left(\frac{w'}{r}-\frac{w}{r^2}\right)-\frac{w}{2v}\left(\frac{(w')^2}{v^6}+\frac{w^2(w')^2}{r^2v^2}+2\frac{w'w}{rv^4}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{v^5}\left(w''+\left(-2\frac{w}{v^2}-\frac{w}{2v^2}\right)(w')^2+\left(v^2-w^2\right)\frac{w'}{r}-v^2\frac{w}{r^2}-v^2\frac{w^3}{2r^2}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{v^5}\left(w''-\frac{5w}{2\left(1+w^2\right)}(w')^2+\frac{w'}{r}-\left(1+w^2\right)\frac{w}{r^2}-\left(1+w^2\right)\frac{w^3}{2r^2}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{v^5}\left(w''+\left(\frac{w}{r}\right)'-\frac{5w}{2\left(1+w^2\right)}(w')^2-\frac{w^3}{2r^2}\left(3+w^2\right)\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let u be a smooth radially symmetric function that solves the Willmore surface equation* (1.2) *on a disk $D(\rho)$ in the xy-plane centred at the origin. Then $f=0$.*

Proof. Using the function defined in (2.8), the Willmore surface equation (1.2) reads

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(f\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)=0. \tag{2.10}
$$

By (2.1), the above equation reduces to

$$
(rf)'=0.
$$

Then

$$
f=\frac{C}{r} \tag{2.11}
$$

for some constant C. Furthermore, for any $0<r_0<\rho$, integrating the Willmore equation (2.10) and using Stokes' theorem

$$
0=\int_{D(r_0)}\operatorname{div}\left(f\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)=\int_{\partial D(r_0)}f=2\pi\,C.
$$

Thus, $C = 0$. □

Then, Lemma 2.1 and (2.9) yield

Lemma 2.2. *$F = (x, y, u(r))$ is Willmore if and only if*

$$w'' + \left(\frac{w}{r}\right)' - \varphi(\omega) = 0, \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$\varphi(\omega) = \frac{5w}{2(1+w^2)}(w')^2 + \frac{w^3}{2r^2}(3+w^2).$$

For (2.12), we now establish a uniqueness result for an initial value problem.

Lemma 2.3. *There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the equation (2.12) has at most one solution on $[0, \epsilon]$ with initial data $w(0) = 0$, and $w'(0) = a$.*

Proof. Let w_1 and w_2 be solutions to (2.12) on $[0, r_0]$ for some $r_0 > 0$. Then we have

$$(w_1 - w_2)'' + (\frac{w_1 - w_2}{r})' = \varphi(w_1) - \varphi(w_2). \tag{2.13}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(w_1) - \varphi(w_2) &= \frac{5w_1}{2\,(1+w_1^2)}(w_1')^2 + \frac{w_1^3}{2r^2}\left(3+w_1^2\right) - \frac{5w_2}{2\,(1+w_2^2)}(w_2')^2 - \frac{w_2^3}{2r^2}\left(3+w_2^2\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{5w_1}{2(1+w_1^2)} - \frac{5w_2}{2\,(1+w_2^2)}\right)(w_1')^2 + \frac{5w_2(w_1'+w_2')}{2(1+w_2^2)}\,(w_1' - w_2') \\
&\quad +3\,\frac{w_1^3 - w_2^3}{2r^2} + \frac{w_1^5 - w_2^5}{2r^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

To estimate the three terms on the right hand side of the above identity, we first observe

$$\left|\frac{w_1}{(1+w_1^2)} - \frac{w_2}{(1+w_2^2)}\right| = \left|\frac{(1-w_1w_2)(w_1-w_2)}{(1+w_1^2)(1+w_2^2)}\right| \le |w_1 - w_2|.$$

Next, let

$$\Lambda = \|w_1\|_{C^1([0,r_0])} + \|w_2\|_{C^1([0,r_0])}. \tag{2.15}$$

Since $w_i(0) = 0$, for $r \le r_0$, it holds

$$|w_i(r)| = \left|\int_0^r w'(s)ds\right| \le r\|w_i\|_{C^1([0,r_0])}.$$

There exists some positive constant $C(\Lambda)$ which depends only on Λ such that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{w_i}{r}\right| &\le C(\Lambda), \quad i = 1, 2; \\
\left|\frac{w_1^3 - w_2^3}{r^2}\right| &= \frac{|w_1^2 + w_1w_2 + w_2^2|}{r^2}|w_1 - w_2| \le C(\Lambda)|w_1 - w_2|, \\
\left|\frac{w_1^5 - w_2^5}{r^2}\right| &\le C(\Lambda)r^2|w_1 - w_2|.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|\varphi(w_1)-\varphi(w_2)|\le C(r|w_1'-w_2'|+|w_1-w_2|),\quad r\in[0,r_0], \tag{2.16}$$

where C depends only on Λ and r_0.

Let $\phi=w_1-w_2$. We have $\phi(0)=\phi'(0)=0$, hence $\lim_{r\to 0^+}\frac{\phi}{r}=0$; therefore by (2.13),

$$\phi'(r)+\frac{\phi(r)}{r}=\int_0^r(\varphi(w_1(t))-\varphi(w_2(t)))dt.$$

Then

$$(r\phi(r))'=r\int_0^r(\varphi(w_1(t))-\varphi(w_2(t)))dt.$$

By (2.16),

$$\begin{aligned}
|(r\phi(r))'| &\le Cr\int_0^r(|\phi(t)|+|t\phi'(t)|)dt \qquad (2.17)\\
&= Cr\int_0^r(|\phi(t)|+|(t\phi(t))'-\phi(t)|)dt\\
&\le 2Cr\int_0^r(|\phi(t)|+|(t\phi(t))'|)dt\\
&= 2Cr\int_0^r\left(\left|\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t(s\phi(s))'ds\right|+|(t\phi(t))'|\right)dt\\
&\le 4Cr^2\|(t\phi)'\|_{C^0([0,r])},
\end{aligned}$$

where $r\in(0,r_0]$.

Given $r_1\in(0,r_0)\cap(0,\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2C}})$, if $(r\phi)'$ is not identically 0 on $[0,r_1]$, then we can find $r_2\in(0,r_1]$, such that

$$0<|(r\phi)'(r_2)|=\|(r\phi)'\|_{C^0([0,r_2])}.$$

Thus, (2.17) yields

$$0<|(r\phi)'(r_2)|\le 4Cr_2^2|(r\phi)'(r_2)|<\frac{1}{2}|(r\phi)'(r_2)|,$$

which is impossible. Therefore, $(r\phi)'=0$ on $[0,r_1]$. It follows that $r\phi$ is a constant which must be 0 since $\phi(0)=0$. We conclude that $\phi=0$ on $[0,r_1]$. Then $w_1=w_2$ on $[0,\epsilon]$ for $\epsilon=\min\{r_0,\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}C}\}$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1: We divide the proof into two cases.

1) When $a=0$, it is clear that 0 is solution to (2.12). Since $w=u'$ is also a solution to (2.12), by Lemma 2.3, $w=0$ on $[0,\epsilon]$ for some $\epsilon>0$. Over the interval $[\epsilon,+\infty)$, (2.12) is a second order ordinary differential equation with smooth coefficients, by the standard uniqueness theorem in ODE, $w=0$ on $[\epsilon,+\infty)$ hence on $[0,+\infty)$. Then u is a constant.

2) Let $a \neq 0$. It is well-known that the half sphere $F = (x, y, -\text{sign}(a)\sqrt{\frac{1}{a^2} - r^2})$ is a Willmore surface, i.e. $\left(-\text{sign}(a)\sqrt{\frac{1}{a^2} - r^2}\right)'$ satisfies the equation (2.12). Moreover,

$$\left(-\text{sign}(a)\sqrt{\frac{1}{a^2} - r^2}\right)''_{r=0} = a.$$

Similar to case 1), from Lemma 2.3

$$w(r) = -\left(\text{sign}(a)\sqrt{\frac{1}{a^2} - r^2}\right)'$$

on $[0, \frac{1}{|a|})$, which is the maximum interval on which w is defined. Then

$$u = -\text{sign}(a)\sqrt{\frac{1}{a^2} - r^2} + c$$

where c is a constant and $r \in [0, \frac{1}{|a|})$. □

For an entire radial solution to (1.2), the second case in Theorem 1.1 cannot occur. Therefore, we have

Corollary 2.4. *A smooth radially symmetric Willmore graph $F = (x, y, u(r))$ must be a plane that is parallel to the xy-plane.*

3. Radially symmetric solutions with a point singularity

In this section, we study radially symmetric Willmore surface which has a singularity at $(0, 0, 0)$.

3.1. **Regularity and uniqueness of solutions.** Examining the proof of Lemma 2.2, due to the singularity at 0, we can only conclude from (2.11) that $f = \frac{\lambda}{r}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, while $\lambda = 0$ when u is smooth at 0. Therefore, the equation for $w = u'$ takes a different form when singularity presents. We have

Lemma 3.1. *Let $u \in C^\infty(D(\rho)\backslash\{0\})$ be a radially symmetric function that solves the Willmore surface equation (1.2) on $D(\rho)\backslash\{0\}$ in the xy-plane centred at the origin. Then $f(r) = \frac{\lambda}{r}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ where f is defined in (2.9), i.e. $F = (x, y, u(r))$ is Willmore if and only if*

$$w'' + \left(\frac{w}{r}\right)' = \varphi(\omega) + \frac{\lambda}{r}v^5, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\varphi(\omega) = \frac{5w}{2(1+w^2)}(w')^2 + \frac{w^3}{2r^2}(3+w^2).$$

We now establish a uniqueness result for an initial value problem of (3.1).

Lemma 3.2. *There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the equation (3.1) has at most one solution on $(0, \epsilon]$ with the initial data*

$$\lim_{r\to 0^+} w(r) = 0, \quad \lim_{r\to 0^+} \left(w'(r) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \log r \right) = b. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Let w_1 and w_2 be solutions to (3.1) on $(0, r_0]$ for some $0 < r_0 < 1$ with (3.2) satisfied. Let $\phi = w_1 - w_2$. It follows that

$$\lim_{r\to 0^+} \phi'(r) = \lim_{r\to 0^+} \phi(r) = 0$$

and ϕ can be extended to a function in $C^1([0, r_0])$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi'(0) = 0$. Hence $\lim_{r\to 0^+} \frac{\phi}{r} = 0$, and by integration

$$\phi'(r) + \frac{\phi(r)}{r} = \int_0^r \left(\varphi(w_1(t)) - \varphi(w_2(t)) + \lambda \frac{v_1^5(t) - v_2^5(t)}{t} \right) dt.$$

Then

$$(r\phi(r))' = r \int_0^r \left(\varphi(w_1(t)) - \varphi(w_2(t)) + \lambda \frac{(1 + w_1^2)^{\frac{5}{2}} - (1 + w_2^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}}{t} \right) dt.$$

From (3.2), we may find $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_1(r_0, b, \lambda)$ such that

$$|w_1'(r)| + |w_2'(r)| < \Lambda_1 \log \frac{1}{r}. \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\frac{|w_i(r)|}{r} \le \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r |w_i'| \le \Lambda_1 \log \frac{1}{r}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Moreover,

$$\left| \frac{(1 + w_1^2(r))^{\frac{5}{2}} - (1 + w_2^2(r))^{\frac{5}{2}}}{r} \right| \le \frac{C}{r} |w_1^2 - w_2^2| \le C|\phi| \log \frac{1}{r}.$$

Applying (2.14), similar to the proof of (2.16) by using (3.3) instead of (2.15), we have

$$\left| \varphi(w_1(r)) - \varphi(w_2(r)) + \lambda \frac{(1 + w_1^2(r))^{\frac{5}{2}} - (1 + w_2^2(r))^{\frac{5}{2}}}{r} \right| \le C(|\phi(r)| + r|\phi'|) \log^2 \frac{1}{r}.$$

Then using the arguments in (2.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(r\phi(r))'| &\le Cr \int_0^r (|\phi(t)| + |t\phi'(t)|) \log^2 \frac{1}{t} dt \\ &\le 4Cr \left(r \log^2 r - 2r \log r + 2r \right) \|(t\phi)'\|_{C^0([0,r])} \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where $r \in (0, r_0]$.

Given $r_1 \in (0, r_0)$, such that

$$4Cr_1 \left(r_1 \log^2 r_1 - 2r_1 \log r_1 + 2r_1 \right) \le \frac{1}{2}.$$

If $(r\phi)'$ is not identically 0 on $[0, r_1]$, then we can find $r_2 \in (0, r_1]$, such that

$$0 < |(r\phi)'(r_2)| = \|(r\phi)'\|_{C^0([0,r_2])}.$$

Thus, (3.4) yields

$$0 < |(r\phi)'(r_2)| \le \frac{1}{2}|(r\phi)'(r_2)|,$$

which is impossible. Therefore, $(r\phi)' = 0$ on $[0, r_1]$, which implies that $w_1 = w_2$ on $[0, \epsilon]$ for $\epsilon = \min\{r_0, r_1\}$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $w \in C^2((0, a_0])$ be a solution to (3.1) on $(0, a_0]$. If $\lim_{r\to 0^+} w(r) = 0$, then $w(r) - \frac{\lambda}{2} r \log r \in C^1([0, a_0])$, and consequently $\lim_{r\to 0^+} \left(w'(r) - \frac{\lambda}{2}\log r\right)$ exists.*

Proof. We assume $|w| < \epsilon_1 < 1$ on $(0, a], a \le a_0, a < 1$. First of all, we prove

$$\int_r^a \left((w')^2 + \frac{w^2}{2t^2}\right) < C\left(\frac{w^2}{2r} + |w|\log\frac{1}{r} + \int_r^a \frac{|w|}{t}dt + 1\right), \quad r \in (0, a), \tag{3.5}$$

where C is a positive constant depends only on a, $w'(a)$, λ and the upper bound of w in $[0, a]$. For simplicity, we will use C to denote some uniform constant in the rest of the proof.

Multiplying both sides of (3.1) by w and then integrating from r to a, since $w\varphi \ge 0$, we have

$$\int_r^a \left(w''w + \left(\frac{w}{t}\right)' w\right) \ge \int_r^a \frac{\lambda}{t} v^5 w \ge -C\int_r^a \frac{|w|}{t}dt.$$

Then integrating by parts implies

$$-w'(r)w(r) - \frac{w^2(r)}{r} - \int_r^a \frac{(w^2)'}{2t} - \int_r^a (w')^2 \ge -C\left(1 + \int_r^a \frac{|w|}{t}dt\right),$$

then applying integration by parts again and absorbing the terms evaluated at a into C, we have

$$-w'(r)w(r) - \frac{w^2(r)}{r} + \frac{w^2(r)}{2r} - \int_r^a \frac{w^2}{2t^2} - \int_r^a (w')^2 \ge -C\left(1 + \int_r^a \frac{|w|}{t}dt\right).$$

Rearranging terms, we arrive at

$$\int_r^a \left((w')^2 + \frac{w^2}{2t^2}\right)dt \le C\left(1 + \int_r^a \frac{|w|}{t}dt\right) - w(r)\frac{(rw(r))'}{r} + \frac{w^2(r)}{2r}. \tag{3.6}$$

To estimate $(rw(r))'$, integrating (3.1) again,

$$\frac{(rw(r))'}{r} = -\int_r^a \left(\frac{5w(w')^2}{2(1+w^2)} + \frac{3w^3 + w^5}{2t^2} + \lambda\frac{v^5 - 1}{t}\right)dt + \lambda\log\frac{r}{a} + w'(a) + \frac{w(a)}{a}. \tag{3.7}$$

Then, absorbing the terms evaluated at a into a uniform constant C, we have

$$\left|\frac{(rw(r))'}{r}\right| \le \epsilon_1 \int_r^a \left((w')^2 + \frac{w^2}{2t^2}\right) + C\log\frac{1}{r}. \tag{3.8}$$

Putting (3.8) into (3.6) and recalling $|w| < \epsilon_1$, we get

$$\int_r^a \left((w')^2 + \frac{w^2}{2t^2}\right) \le C\left(1 + \int_r^a \frac{|w|}{t}dt\right) + \epsilon_1^2 \int_r^a \left((w')^2 + \frac{w^2}{2t^2}\right) + C|w|\log\frac{1}{r} + \frac{w^2(r)}{2r}$$

and in turn we conclude the proof of (3.5).

Secondly, we prove

$$|w(r)| < Cr\log\frac{1}{r}. \tag{3.9}$$

By (3.5) and (3.8),

$$|rw' + w| \le C\left(w^2 + r\log\frac{1}{r}\right).$$

Fix an $\epsilon_2 \in (0,1)$ and choose a' such that $|w| < \epsilon_2/C$ on $(0,a')$. It is well-known that

$$\big|\,|rw|'\,\big| \le |(rw)'|.$$

Therefore

$$|(r|w|)'| \le \epsilon_2|w| + Cr\log\frac{1}{r}, \quad r \in (0,a').$$

Then

$$r|w|' + (1-\epsilon_2)|w| \le Cr\log\frac{1}{r}.$$

Thus

$$\left(r^{1-\epsilon_2}|w|\right)' \le Cr^{1-\epsilon_2}\log\frac{1}{r},$$

then

$$r^{1-\epsilon_2}|w(r)| \le C\int_0^r t^{1-\epsilon_2}\log\frac{1}{t}dt \le \frac{C}{2-\epsilon_2}\left(r^{2-\epsilon_2}\log\frac{1}{r} + r^{2-\epsilon_2}\right)$$

and (3.9) is established by dividing $r^{1-\epsilon_2}$.

Now we can finish the proof. Putting (3.9) into (3.5), we are led to

$$\int_0^a \left((w')^2 + \frac{w^2}{2t^2}\right)dt < +\infty,$$

then

$$\int_0^a \left|\frac{5w}{2(1+w^2)}(w')^2 + \frac{3w^3+w^5}{2t^2} + \lambda\frac{v^5-1}{t}\right|dt < +\infty. \tag{3.10}$$

For convenience, set

$$\psi(r) = -\int_r^a \left(\frac{5w}{2(1+w^2)}(w')^2 + \frac{3w^3+w^5}{2t^2} + \lambda\frac{v^5-1}{t}\right)dt,$$

which is continuous in $[0,a]$ by (3.10). By (3.7),

$$w(r) = \frac{1}{r}\int_0^r t\psi(t)dt + \frac{\lambda}{2}r\log r + Br,$$

where

$$B = \frac{1}{2}\left(-\lambda\log a + w'(a) + \frac{w(a)}{a}\right) - \frac{\lambda}{4}.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{r\to 0^+}\left(w(r) - \frac{\lambda}{2}\log r\right)' = B + \frac{\psi(0)}{2}.$$

It is easy to check that the function $w(r) - \frac{\lambda}{2} r \log r$ is differentiable at 0 with derivative $B + \frac{\psi(0)}{2}$. Therefore, $w(r) - \frac{\lambda}{2} r \log r \in C^1([0, a_0])$. □

3.2. **Inverted catenoids.** A catenoid $\mathcal{C}_c$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_c(t, \theta) = (|c| \cosh t \cos\theta, |c| \cosh t \sin\theta, ct),$$

where $c \neq 0$. The inversion of $\mathcal{C}_c$ under the map $I_a : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ defined in the introduction is given by

$$(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{|c| \cosh t \cos\theta}{R^2}, \frac{|c| \cosh t \sin\theta}{R^2}, \frac{ct - a}{R^2} \right),$$

where

$$R^2 = c^2 \cosh^2 t + (ct - a)^2.$$

Let

$$h(t) = \frac{|c| \cosh t}{R^2}, \quad \bar{h}(t) = \frac{ct - a}{R^2}.$$

Then $I_a(\mathcal{C}_c)$ is the surface of revolution generated by rotating the curve

$$\sigma(t) = (h(t), 0, \bar{h}(t))$$

about the z-axis. Since $h(+\infty) = h(-\infty) = 0$, h has at least 1 critical point. Direct calculation shows that h has only finitely many (in fact, at most three) critical points. A tangent vector of σ is given by $\sigma'(t) = (h'(t), 0, \bar{h}'(t))$, so t is a critical point of h if and only if the tangent line of $\sigma(t)$ is parallel to the z-axis. Let $t_1 < \cdots < t_m$ be the critical points of h and set $t_0 = -\infty$, $t_{m+1} = +\infty$, then $I_a(\mathcal{F}_c((t_i, t_{i+1}) \times S^1))$ is a graph over $D(h(t_{i+1})) \backslash D(h(t_i))$, which cannot be extended as a smooth graph over a larger domain, where $i = 0, \cdots, m$.

Lemma 3.4. *Given $\lambda, b \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a unique pair of numbers c and a, such that $\Sigma_{c,a}$ is the graph of a function $U(r)$ with*

$$\lim_{r \to 0^+} \left(U''(r) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \log r \right) = b.$$

Moreover, U' satisfies the equation (3.1).

Proof. After changing parametrization, a catenoid can be written as

$$(\rho \cos\theta, \rho \sin\theta, u(\rho)), \quad \text{where} \quad u(\rho) = c \operatorname{arccosh} \frac{\rho}{|c|}.$$

In this parametriation, $R = \sqrt{\rho^2 + (u(\rho) - a)^2}$. Suppose $\Sigma_{c,a}$ is the graph of $U(r)$. We have

$$r = \frac{\rho}{R^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad U(r) = \frac{u(\rho) - a}{R^2} = \frac{r}{\rho}(u(\rho) - a), \quad r \in (0, R_{c,a}).$$

We now calculate the expansion of U at $r = 0$. It is clear

$$\frac{1}{\rho} = r \left(1 + \frac{(u(\rho) - a)^2}{\rho^2} \right) \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U(r) &= r^2(u(\rho)-a)\left(1+\frac{(u(\rho)-a)^2}{\rho^2}\right) \\ &= r^2u(\rho)-ar^2+\frac{r^2}{\rho^2}O(u^3(\rho)), \quad \text{as } \rho\to+\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

By the definition of $\Sigma_{c,a}$, $\frac{u(\rho)}{c}\to+\infty$ as $\rho\to+\infty$, then by taking log of

$$e^{\frac{u(\rho)}{c}}\frac{1+e^{-2\frac{u(\rho)}{c}}}{2}=\cosh\frac{u(\rho)}{\rho}=\frac{\rho}{|c|},$$

we are led to

$$\begin{aligned} u(\rho) &= c\log\rho+c\log 2-c\log|c|-c\log\left(1+e^{-2\frac{u(\rho)}{c}}\right) \\ &= c\log\rho+c\log 2-c\log|c|+o(1), \quad \text{as } \rho\to+\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(\rho) &= -c\log r+c\log 2-c\log|c|-\log\left(1+\frac{(u(\rho)-a)^2}{\rho^2}\right)+o(1) \\ &= -c\log r+c\log 2-c\log|c|+o(1), \quad \text{as } \rho\to+\infty. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.12),

$$U(r)=-cr^2\log r+(c\log 2-c\log|c|-a)r^2+o(r^2), \quad \text{as } r\to 0.$$

It follows that $U(r)+cr^2\log r$ is in $C^2([0,\rho))$ and

$$\lim_{r\to0^+}(U''(r)+2c\log r)=2(c\log 2-c\log|c|-a)-3c.$$

Set

$$c=-\frac{\lambda}{4}, \quad a=\frac{\lambda}{4}\log\frac{|\lambda|}{8}+\frac{3\lambda}{8}-\frac{b}{2}.$$

Then the uniquely determined $\Sigma_{c,a}$ is the graph of U. □

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3.** Note that a smooth radially symmetric function f defined on $D(\rho)\backslash\{0\}$ can be extended at 0 as a function in $C^1(D(\rho))$ if and only if $\lim_{r\to0^+}f'(r)=0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since $u\in C^1(D(\rho))$, $\lim_{r\to0^+}w(r)=0$. By Lemma 3.3, we can write

$$w(r)'-\frac{\lambda}{2}\log r=\beta(r)$$

for some function $\beta\in C^0([0,\rho))$. So

$$\lim_{r\to0}(w'-\frac{\lambda}{2}\log r)=\beta(0).$$

By Lemma 3.4, there exist c and a, such that $\Sigma_{c,a}$ is the graph of a function $U(r)$ with

$$\lim_{r\to 0}(U''-\frac{\lambda}{2}\log r)=\beta(0)$$

and U' satisfies (3.1). Then by Lemma 3.2, $U'=w$ in $[0,\epsilon]$ for some ϵ. Note that the coefficients in (3.1) are smooth on $[\epsilon,\rho)$. The standard uniqueness theorem in ODE theory asserts $U'=w$ on $[\epsilon,\rho)$ and consequently $U'=w$ on $[0,\rho)$. □

Proof of Corollary 1.3. By a direct calculation, the first and the second fundamental forms of F are

$$g=\begin{pmatrix}1+w^2 & 0\\ 0 & r^2\end{pmatrix},\quad A=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{w'}{v} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{rw}{v}\end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. Then

$$|A|^2=\left(\frac{1}{v^2}\frac{w'}{v}\right)^2+\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{rw}{v}\right)^2=\left(\frac{w'}{v^3}\right)^2+\left(\frac{w}{rv}\right)^2 \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{D(\rho)\setminus D(\epsilon)}(|A|^2-|H|^2)d\mu &= -2\int_{D(\rho)\setminus D(\epsilon)}\frac{w'}{v^3}\frac{w}{rv}d\mu\\ &= -4\pi\int_\epsilon^\rho\frac{ww'}{v^3}\\ &= 4\pi\int_\epsilon^\rho\left(\frac{1}{v}\right)'dr\\ &= 4\pi\left(\frac{1}{v(\rho)}-\frac{1}{v(\epsilon)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int_{D(\rho)}|A|^2<+\infty. \tag{3.14}$$

We now prove $\lim_{r\to 0^+}w(r)=0$. Assume there is a sequence $r_k\to 0$, such that $w(r_k)\to c\neq 0$, where c may be ∞. Define

$$u_k(r)=\frac{u_k(r_kr)-u_k(r_k)}{r_k},\quad w_k(r)=u_k'(r),\quad F_k=(x,y,u_k),\quad \Sigma_k=F_k\left(D\left(\frac{\rho}{r_k}\right)\setminus\{(0)\}\right).$$

Obviously, $w_k(1)=w(r_k)\to c$ as $k\to\infty$. We may assume that the unit normal vector $n_k(p)$ of Σ_k at $p=(1,0,0)$ converges to a unit vector α which is not orthogonal to the xy-plane, i.e.

$$\alpha\cdot(1,0,0)\neq 0. \tag{3.15}$$

This is because the angle between the tangent plane $T_p\Sigma_k$ and the xy-plane is uniformly bounded away from 0 when $c\neq 0$. Thus, we may assume that Σ_k is the graph of some function $\tilde{u}_k(y,z)$ near p over the yz-plane. For convenience, we set $\tilde{F}_k=(\tilde{u}_k(y,z),y,z)$ and $e=\frac{\partial\tilde{F}_k}{\partial y}$. Since Σ_k is radially symmetric, the unit circle $\{(\cos\theta,\sin\theta,0):\theta\in[0,2\pi)\}$

is in each Σ_k. Then $\tilde{u}_k(y,0)=\sqrt{1-y^2}$ and $|e(p)|=1$. For the second fundamental form A_k of Σ_k we have

$$A_k(e(p),e(p))=\frac{\partial^2\tilde{F}_k}{\partial y^2}(p)\cdot n_k(p)=(-1,0,0)\cdot n_k(p). \tag{3.16}$$

However, for any $\delta>0$, we have by (3.14)

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{D(\delta)}|A_k|^2d\mu_k=\lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{D(r_k\delta)}|A|^2d\mu=0.$$

Applying the curvature estimates in Theorem 2.10 in [4],

$$|A_k|(p)\le C\|A_k\|_{L^2(B_1^3(p))}\le C\|A_k\|_{L^2(D(2))}\to 0,$$

where $B_1^3(p)=\{q\in\mathbb{R}^3:|q-p|<1\}$. By (3.16), we get $(1,0,0)\cdot\alpha=0$. This contradicts (3.15). Therefore, we have $\lim_{r\to0^+}w(r)=0$, in turn, $u\in C^1(D(\rho))$. Now the desired results follow from Theorem 1.2. □

References

[1] Bryant, R.: A duality theorem for Willmore surfaces. J. Differential Geom. **20**, 23-53 (1984).

[2] Chen, J.; Lamm, T.: A Bernstein type theorem for entire Willmore graphs. J. Geom. Anal. **23** (2013), no. 1, 456-469.

[3] Deckelnick, K.; Dziuk, G.: Error analysis of a finite element method for the Willmore flow of graphs. Interfaces Free Bound. **8**, 21-46 (2006)

[4] Kuwert, E.; Schätzle, R.: The Willmore flow with small initial energy. J. Differential Geom. **57** (2001), no. 3, 409-441.

[5] Luo, Y.; Sun, J.: Remarks on a Bernstein type theorem for entire Willmore graphs in $\mathbb{R}^3$. J. Geom. Anal. **24** (2014), no. 3, 1613-1618.

[6] Rigoli, M.: On a conformal Bernstein type property. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **44** (1986), no. 3, 427-436.

Department of Mathematics, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T1Z2, Canada

E-mail address: jychen@math.ubc.ca

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

E-mail address: yxli@math.tsinghua.edu.cn